

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/748,046	SAUS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOSEPH BURGESS	3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JOSEPH BURGESS. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) BENJAMIN TABOR. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☐Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 29, 32, 48, AND 73.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hag (US 2002/0095313 A1).

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Discussed incorporation of dependent claim 32 into independent claims 29, 48, and 73 and cancellation of other claim sets for possible allowability. Informed applicant's representative about final mandatory database search that needed to be done before allowability was determined. Applicant's representative agreed to contact me regarding the proposed changes after discussing with clients.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/JOSEPH BURGESS/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3626